

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,939	06/24/2003	William K. Rediehs	34059-3	1826	
7590 01/22/2004			EXAMINER		
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP			GUTMAN, HILARY L		
Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700 Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3612		

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			olication No. Applicant(s)		_			
Office Action Summary		10/602,939	9	REDIEHS, WILLIAM K.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
<u>. </u>		Hilary Guti		3612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the department of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tition. rs, a reply within the statut y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .						
		This action is no	n-final.					
· —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
• —	The specification is objected to by the Ex							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a cknowledgment is made of a claim for dince a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign langual acknowledgment is made of a claim for deference was included in the first sentence.	numents have been uments have been ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule r a list of the certifomestic priority un the first sentence age provisional appomestic priority un	n received. n received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)). nied copies not received as 35 U.S.C. § 119(of the specification of the specification of the 35 U.S.C. §§ 120(der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. D and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A is directed to Figures 2-6 and 8 and claims 1-17;

Species B is directed to Figures 2-5 and 7-8 and claims 1-17;

Species C is directed to Figures 9-10 and claims 18-24;

Species D is directed to Figure 11;

Species E is directed to Figure 12; and

Species F is directed to Figures 13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,939

Art Unit: 3612

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Charles Meyer on Tuesday, January 20, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1134.

7612 1120154